

## CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

N. YU. ANTONOV

*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Ural Branch of the  
Russian Academy of Sciences, S. Kovalevskoy str. 16  
620219 Ekaterinburg, RUSSIA*

Convergence of the Fourier series of functions from the class  $L \log^+ L \log^+ \log^+ L$  is proved.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a given non-decreasing function,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Set  $T := [0, 2\pi)$  and denote by  $\varphi(L) = \varphi(L)_T$  the set of measurable  $2\pi$ -periodic functions  $f$  such that

$$\int_T \varphi(|f(t)|) dt < \infty.$$

Let  $S_n(f, x)$  denote the  $n$ th partial sum of Fourier series of the function  $f$  at the point  $x$ . Introduce the function

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{n \geq 1} |S_n(f, x)|, \quad x \in T.$$

Carleson [1] proved that the Fourier series of any function  $f \in L^2$  converges almost everywhere (a.e.). This fact was extended by Hunt [2]. He proved a.e. convergence of the Fourier series for functions  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p > 1$ , and for  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^2$ . The basic result of [2] is the following estimate for the characteristic function  $\chi_F$  of an arbitrary set  $F \subset T$ .

$$(1) \quad m\{x \in T : M\chi_F(x) > y\} \leq (B_p)^p y^{-p} mF, \quad y > 0, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

where  $B_p \leq \text{const. } p^2/(p-1)$ . It follows from (1) (see [3, p. 563]) that

$$(2) \quad m\{x \in T : M\chi_F(x) > y\} \leq C \frac{1}{y} \log\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) mF \quad \text{for } 0 < y < \frac{1}{2},$$

with some constant  $C$ . Using (2) Sjölin [3] proved the almost everywhere convergence of Fourier series for functions  $f$  from the class  $L(\log^+ L)(\log^+ \log^+ L)$ . In this paper we prove the following.

**Theorem.** *If  $f \in L(\log^+ L)(\log^+ \log^+ \log^+ L)$ , then the Fourier series of  $f$  converges almost everywhere.*

We obtain this result from (2) making use of the method from [3] (see our Lemma 3) and "approximation" of  $f(x)$  in the sense of Lemma 2 (point a) below.

## 2. Notation

For the sake of simplicity, we set  $a_k := 2^{2^k}$  ( $a_0 = 2$ ,  $a_1 = 4$ ,  $a_2 = 16, \dots$ ). Note that  $a_k = (a_{k-1})^2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For any measurable function  $f(x) \geq 0$  we define

$$J(f) := \int_T (f(x) \log^+ f(x) \log^+ \log^+ \log^+ f(x)) dx,$$

where  $\log u = \log_2 u$  and  $y^+ := \max\{0, y\}$  ( $-\infty \leq y < +\infty$ ). Remark that for  $u \geq 16$  the function  $\psi(u) := \log u \log \log \log u$  is increasing and  $\psi(u^2) \leq 4\psi(u)$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , set

$$M_n f(x) := \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m(f, x)|, \quad x \in T.$$

In what follows  $C$  will denote the constant from estimate (2).

## 3. Preliminary lemmas

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Assume that  $G \subset T$ ,  $G$  is a measurable set and  $f$  is a measurable function such that  $0 \leq f(x) \leq a$  for  $x \in G$ , and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin G$ . Then there exists a set  $F \subset G$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

$$a) \quad m \leq n \text{ implies } \|S_m(f, x) - S_m(a\chi_F, x)\|_C < \varepsilon,$$

$$b) \int_G a\chi_F(x) dx = am(F) = \int_G f(x) dx.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $I = \int_G f(x) dx$ . If  $I = 0$ , then the lemma is obviously true. Assume that  $I \neq 0$ . Let us choose  $l$  such that

$$(3) \quad \frac{2\pi}{l} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2I(n+1)^2}.$$

Introduce the notations

$$A_i := 2\pi i/l, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l, \quad \Delta_i := [A_{i-1}, A_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Denote

$$G^i := G \cap \Delta_i, \quad I_i := \int_{G^i} f(x) dx.$$

It is easy to see that  $G^i \cap G^j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $G = \cup_{i=1}^l G^i$ ,  $I_i \leq am(G_i)$  and

$$(4) \quad I = \sum_{i=1}^l I_i.$$

We can find a set  $F^i \subset G^i$  such that  $m(F^i) = I_i/a$  and hence,

$$(5) \quad \int_{\Delta_i} a\chi_{F^i}(x) dx = \int_{G^i} a\chi_{F^i}(x) dx = I_i = \int_{\Delta_i} f(x) dx.$$

Set  $F := \cup_{i=1}^l F^i$ . Using (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\int_G a\chi_F(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{G^i} a\chi_F(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{G^i} a\chi_{F^i}(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^l I_i = I,$$

and thus b) holds. Let us verify a). For  $m \leq n$  and  $x \in T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m(f, x) - S_m(a\chi_F, x)| &= \left| \int_T D_m(x-t)(f(t) - a\chi_F(t)) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{\Delta_i} D_m(x-t)(f(t) - a\chi_F(t)) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{\Delta_i} (D_m(x-t) - D_m(x-A_i))(f(t) - a\chi_F(t)) dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using these equalities, as well as (5), (3) and the classical Bernstein inequality  $\|D'_m\|_C \leq m\|D_m\|_C$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_m(f, x) - S_m(a\chi_F, x)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^l 2 \max_{t \in \Delta_i} |D_m(x-t) - D_m(x-A_i)| \int_{G^i} f(t) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^l 2(m+1)^2 \frac{2\pi}{l} I_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^l 2(m+1)^2 I_i \frac{\varepsilon}{2l(n+1)^2} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 is proved.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a non-decreasing function such that  $\psi(u^2) \leq 4\psi(u)$  for  $u \geq 16$ . Define  $\varphi(u) := u\psi(u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $f(x) \in \varphi(L)$  and for any  $x \in T$ ,  $f(x) \geq 16$  or  $f(x) = 0$ . Then there exists a sequence of sets  $F_k$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $F_k \subset T$ ,  $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ , such that the function  $g(x) := \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \chi_{F_k}(x)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- a) If  $m \leq n$ , then  $\|S_m(f, x) - S_m(g, x)\|_C < \varepsilon$ ,
- b)  $\int_T \varphi(g(x)) dx \leq 4 \int_T \varphi(f(x)) dx$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and a function  $f \in \varphi(L)$  such that  $f(x) \geq 16$ . Define  $G_k := \{x \in T : a_{k-1} < f(x) \leq a_k\}$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Obviously  $G_i \cap G_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let

$$f_k(x) := \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in G_k, \\ 0, & x \notin G_k. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_k(x)$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \int_T \varphi(f(x)) dx = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{G_k} \varphi(f(x)) dx = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{G_k} \varphi(f_k(x)) dx.$$

In view of Lemma 1, for every  $f_k(x)$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , there exists  $F_k \subset G_k$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|S_m(f_k, x) - S_m(a_k \chi_{F_k}, x)\|_C < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \int_{G_k} f_k(x) dx = a_k m(F_k) = \int_{G_k} a_k \chi_{F_k}(x) dx.$$

Note that

$$(9) \quad \int_T \varphi(g(x)) dx = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{G_k} \varphi(g(x)) dx = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{G_k} \varphi(a_k \chi_{F_k}(x)) dx.$$

Using (8) and the assumptions on the function  $\varphi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_k} \varphi(a_k \chi_{F_k}(x)) dx = \int_{G_k} \varphi(a_k) \chi_{F_k}(x) dx = \psi(a_k) a_k m(F_k) \\ & = \int_{G_k} f_k(x) \psi(a_k) dx = \int_{G_k} f_k(x) \psi((a_{k-1})^2) dx \leq \int_{G_k} f_k(x) \psi((f_k(x))^2) dx \\ (10) \quad & \leq 4 \int_{G_k} f_k(x) \psi(f_k(x)) dx = 4 \int_{G_k} \varphi(f_k(x)) dx, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (9), (10) and (6) that

$$\int_T \varphi(g(x)) dx \leq 4 \int_T \varphi(f(x)) dx.$$

Let  $m \leq n$ . From (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_m(f, x) - S_m(g, x)\|_C &= \|S_m(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (f_k - a_k \chi_{F_k}), x)\|_C \\ &= \|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} S_m(f_k - a_k \chi_{F_k}, x)\|_C \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \|S_m(f_k - a_k \chi_{F_k}, x)\|_C \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

**Lemma 3.** Assume that  $g(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \chi_{F_n}(x), F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset, i \neq j, J(g) < \frac{1}{C}$ . Then there exists a set  $B$  with  $mB \leq 2$  such that  $Mg(x) \leq 13$  for  $x \notin B$ .

*Proof.* Denote

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &:= \{x \in T : M\chi_{F_n}(x) > a_n^{-1}\}, \quad E = \cup_{n=2}^{\infty} E_n, \\ K_n &:= \{x \in T : a_n^{-1} n^{-2} < M\chi_{F_n}(x) \leq a_n^{-1}\}, \\ (11) \quad L_n &:= \{x \in T : M\chi_{F_n}(x) \leq a_n^{-1} n^{-2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2) and (1) that  $mE_n \leq C a_n \log a_n mF_n$ , and hence

$$mE \leq C \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \log a_n mF_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_T a_n \log a_n \chi_{F_n}(x) dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_T a_n \log a_n \log \log \log a_n \chi_{F_n}(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of  $J(g)$  and the assumptions of the lemma,

$$(12) \quad mE \leq CJ(g) < 1.$$

Consider the function  $Mg(x) = M(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \chi_{F_n}(x))$  outside  $E$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T \setminus E} M\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \chi_{F_n}(x)\right) dx \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{T \setminus E_n} a_n M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx \\
(13) \quad &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \int_{K_n} M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \int_{L_n} M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of  $L_n$  that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \int_{L_n} M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi}{n^2} < 5.$$

We shall now prove the estimate

$$(15) \quad a_n \int_{K_n} M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx \leq 8C \int_{F_n} g(x) \log g(x) \log \log \log g(x) dx.$$

In order to do this, let  $\mu_n(\lambda) = m\{x \in T : M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) > \lambda\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n \int_{K_n} M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx &= -a_n \int_{a_n^{-1}n^{-2}}^{a_n^{-1}} \lambda d\mu_n(\lambda) \\
&= -a_n \lambda \mu_n(\lambda) \Big|_{a_n^{-1}n^{-2}}^{a_n^{-1}} + a_n \int_{a_n^{-1}n^{-2}}^{a_n^{-1}} \mu_n(\lambda) d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2), we obtain

$$a_n \int_{K_n} M(\chi_{F_n}(x)) dx \leq C \log(a_n n^2) a_n mF_n + C a_n \int_{a_n^{-1}n^{-2}}^{a_n^{-1}} \frac{\log(1/\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda mF_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq C a_n \log(a_n n^2) m F_n + \frac{C}{2} a_n [(\log(a_n n^2))^2 - (\log a_n)^2] m F_n \\
 &\leq C a_n \log(a_n n^2) m F_n + C a_n [\log(a_n n^2) - \log a_n] \log(a_n n^2) m F_n \\
 &\leq 8 C a_n \log a_n \log n m F_n \\
 &= 8 C a_n \log a_n \log \log \log a_n m F_n
 \end{aligned}$$

and (15) follows. From (13), (14) and (15), we have

$$\int_{T \setminus E} M(g(x)) dx \leq 8 C J(g) + 5 \leq 13.$$

Let  $H = \{x \in T \setminus E : M g(x) > 13\}$ . It is easy to see that

$$(16) \quad m H < 1.$$

Now we can define the set  $B$ . Let  $B := E \cup H$ . According to (12) and (16),  $m B < 2$ , and  $M g(x) \leq 13$  for  $x \notin B$ . The lemma is proved.

#### 4. A property of sequences of operators

Let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that: (i)  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(u)$  is convex and increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , (ii)  $\varphi(u^{\frac{1}{2}})$  is a concave function of  $u$ ,  $0 \leq u < \infty$ . We need a certain proposition which follows from a theorem of Stein [4]. Before formulating the result, let us first introduce some definitions. We say that an operator  $V$  is of type  $(\varphi, \varphi)$  if there exists a constant  $A > 0$  so that

$$\int_T \varphi(|V(f, x)|) dx \leq \int_T \varphi(A|f(x)|) dx.$$

The operator  $V$  commutes with translations if

$$V(f(\cdot + s), x) = V(f(\cdot), x + s).$$

**Theorem A.** [4, p. 154, Theorem 3]. *Let  $V_m$  be a sequence of linear operators, each of type  $(\varphi, \varphi)$ , and which commute with translations. Let  $\varphi$  satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii). Suppose that for every  $f \in \varphi(L)$  we have  $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |V_m(f, x)| < \infty$ , for  $x$  in some set of positive measure. Let  $V^*(f, x) = \sup_{m > 1} |V_m(f, x)|$ . Then there exists a constant  $A$  such that*

$$m\{x : V^*(f, x) > \alpha\} \leq \int_T \varphi\left(\frac{A}{\alpha}|f(x)|\right) dx, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\varphi$  satisfy (i) and (ii). For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $A_n \in L^\infty(T)$ , assume that

$$U_n(f, x) := \int_T A_n(x-t)f(t)dt + af(x)$$

is a sequence of operator such that: 1) There exists a set  $P$  of functions which is dense in  $\varphi(L)$  and such that, for any  $p \in P$ ,

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |U_n(p, x)| = 0 \quad a.e.;$$

2) For every  $f \in \varphi(L)$ , the estimate

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} |U_n(f, x)| < \infty$$

holds for  $x$  in some set of positive measure. Then for every  $f \in \varphi(L)$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |U_n(f, x)| = 0$$

for almost every  $x$ .

*Proof.* Denote

$$U^*(f, x) := \sup_{n \geq 1} |U_n(f, x)|,$$

$$U(f, x) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |U_n(f, x)|.$$

Conditions (i), (ii) imply:  $\alpha^2 \varphi(u) \leq \varphi(\alpha u) \leq \alpha \varphi(u)$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ). Applying this and Jensen's inequality, it is easy to verify that the sequence  $U_n$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem A. On the basis of this theorem we conclude that there exists  $A > 0$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} m\{x \in T : U(f, x) > \alpha\} &\leq m\{x \in T : U^*(f, x) > \alpha\} \\ &\leq \int_T \varphi\left(\frac{A|f(x)|}{\alpha}\right) dx \leq \frac{A}{\alpha} \int_T \varphi(|f(x)|) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha > A$  and  $f \in \varphi(L)$ . Hence there exists  $K > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \varphi(L)$ ,

$$(18) \quad \int_T (U(f, x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \leq K \int_T \varphi(|f(x)|) dx + K.$$

The proof of (18) is not difficult due to the representation of the left-hand part of (18) in terms of  $\mu(\lambda) = m\{x \in T : U(f, x) > \lambda\}$ . Let  $\theta > 0$  and

$f \in \varphi(L)$ . According to the density of the set  $P$ , there exists  $p_0(x) \in P$  such that

$$(19) \quad \int_T \varphi(|\theta^2 f(x) - p_0(x)|) dx < 1.$$

Using consecutively (17), (18) and (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \int_T (U(f, x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx &= \int_T |U(\theta^2 f, x) - U(p_0, x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \int_T (U(\theta^2 f - p_0, x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq K \int_T \varphi(|\theta^2 f(x) - p_0(x)|) dx + K \leq 2K, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\int_T (U(f, x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \leq \frac{2K}{\theta} \quad \text{for any } \theta > 0.$$

Let  $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ . We get  $\int_T (U(f, x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = 0$ . Therefore  $U(f, x) = 0$  a.e. in  $T$ . Lemma 4 is proved.

**Corollary.** *Assume that for every  $f \in L \log^+ L \log^+ \log^+ L$  we have  $\sup_{n \geq 1} |S_n(f, x)| < \infty$  for  $x$  in some set of positive measure. Then the Fourier series of any  $f \in L \log L \log^+ \log^+ L$  converges a.e. .*

To prove this corollary we just apply Lemma 4 for  $U_n(f, x) := S_n(f, x) - f(x)$  with  $P$  being the set of all trigonometric polynomials. Let us note also that there exists a function  $\varphi$ , satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), such that  $\varphi(L) = L \log^+ L \log^+ \log^+ L$ .

### 5. Proof of the theorem

First we assume that  $f : T \rightarrow \{0\} \cup [16, +\infty)$  and  $J(f) < 1/(4C)$ . Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then, according to Lemma 2, there exists a function  $g(x) = g_n(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \chi_{F_k}(x)$  such that

$$J(g) \leq 4J(f) \leq \frac{1}{C}$$

and

$$(20) \quad \|M_n f - M_n g_n\|_C \leq \|M_n(f - g_n)\|_C = \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \|S_m(f - g_n, \cdot)\|_C < 1.$$

It follows from (20) that for all  $x \in T$ , we have  $M_n f(x) \leq M_n g_n(x) + 1$ . Therefore

$$(21) \quad \{x \in T : M_n f(x) > 14\} \subset \{x \in T : M_n g_n(x) > 13\}.$$

According to (21) and Lemma 3,

$$m\{x \in T : M_n f(x) > 14\} \leq m\{x \in T : M_n g_n(x) > 13\} \leq 2.$$

Hence

$$(22) \quad m\{x \in T : M f(x) > 14\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m\{x \in T : M_n f(x) > 14\} \leq 2.$$

Using (22), the convergence a.e. of Fourier series of bounded functions and linearity of the set  $\varphi(L) = L \log^+ L \log^+ \log^+ \log^+ L$ , we can prove that for every  $f \in \varphi(L)$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n(f, x)| < \infty$$

on a set of positive measure. According to the corollary of Lemma 4, this fact implies almost everywhere convergence of the Fourier series of functions from  $L \log^+ L \log^+ \log^+ \log^+ L$ . The theorem is proved.

**Remark.** Clearly, the construction proposed here can be applied to improve the well-known Sjölin result on a.e. convergence of multiple Fourier series, namely, to prove that the condition  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^d \log^+ \log^+ \log^+ L(T^d)$  is sufficient for such a convergence. We shall publish this result soon in another paper.

### References

- [1] L. CARLESON, On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, *Acta Math.* **116**, 1-2(1966), 135-157.
- [2] R. A. HUNT, On the convergence of Fourier series, Orthogonal expansions and their continuous analogues, SIU Press, Carbondale, Illinois, 1968, 235-255.
- [3] P. SJÖLIN, An inequality of Paley and convergence a. e. of Walsh-Fourier series, *Arkiv för Mat.* **7**(1969), 551-570.
- [4] E. M. STEIN, On limits of sequences of operators, *Annals of Math.* **74**, 1(1961), 140-170.

---

*Received April 16, 1996*